Appendix Exhibit 158

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE	UNITED STATES
	_
WILLIAM K. HARRINGTON,)
UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, REGION 2,)
Petitioner,)
v.) No. 23-124
PURDUE PHARMA L.P., ET AL.,)
Respondents.)

Pages: 1 through 123

Place: Washington, D.C.

Date: December 4, 2023

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 206
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888
www.hrccourtreporters.com

- 1 to that, but it's a hearing that didn't even
- 2 consider the merits of the claim. It
- 3 specifically said that you get nothing. It
- 4 doesn't even matter because I think that it's
- 5 just better enough that you're getting, you
- 6 know, more for the other claim.
- 7 And, as I said before, we don't think
- 8 that that's the right analysis. If you had
- 9 joint and several liability for co-tortfeasors,
- it certainly can't be the analysis when you have
- 11 claims that don't even overlap as much as those
- 12 claims do.
- JUSTICE ALITO: Thank you.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Justice
- 15 Sotomayor?
- 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: We have a separate
- 17 petition in Highland Capital, and the amici
- 18 briefs argue that or suggest that your argument
- 19 here about nonconsensual third-party releases
- 20 affects the question of exculpation clauses for
- 21 professional services, firms that -- for firms
- 22 that work on a bankruptcy. Does it?
- MR. GANNON: There --
- 24 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And how do you get
- 25 around -- I -- I don't -- I know you're not